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## Life Before Standards

- Material to cover
- Teach it well


## "What should I study?"

- How do I assess their learning?

Choose concepts to test

- Partial credit: Worth 5 points? 7?

What corresponds to an A?
Final grade: Average exam grades.

## My issues

- Exams are high stakes
- Focus on grades, NOT learning
- Grades don't align with mastery
- We assess only what is testable
- Exams gauge understanding at a fixed point in time
- Opaqueness of the whole system


## My Standards-Based Grading

- Transparent list of standards
- Assessments of 3-4 standards every 2-3 weeks
- Each standard scored for mastery

4: Completely correct 3: Almost correct with most main ideas
2: Some main ideas; not complete I:Very partial solution 0:Weak Start

- Reassessments to improve score (2 per week)
- Grade based on mastery of standards:
- A: 90\% 3.5+, others 3+
- B: $80 \% 3+$, others $2.5+$

C: 80\% 2+, others I.5+

- F: less than 80\% 2+


## Examples of Standards

- Basic Integrals. (core)

Can you evaluate standard antiderivatives, definite integrals, and indefinite integrals involving polynomials? Involving trigonometric functions?

- Area between curves.

Can you set up and evaluate an integral with respect to $x$ ? $y$ ? Can you convert between the two? This involves determining the correct bounds of integration.

- Key Theorems.

Can you state and apply the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, parts I and II?
Mean Value Theorem for Integrals? Do you understand their interpretations?

- Mathematical Experience.

Can you approach problems in multiple ways? Are you willing to make mistakes? Can you learn from your mistakes? Are you able to discuss mathematical concepts with your classmates?

- Project Management.

Can you work together on your project as a group? Can you follow project instructions? Can you work within a given timeframe and meet deadlines?

## What I Love About Standards

- Focus is on the learning
- Growth mindset - "How do I improve?"
- More one-on-one contact \& just-in-time teaching
- Transparency in Grading
- Assessments not as stressful
- Higher expectations for students


## Grade Sheet Example - Gradesly

| Assignment Name | Your Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| -------------- Current Standard Scores -------------- | 999 |
| Current Score for Standard 1 | 4 |
| Current Score for Standard 2 | 2 |
| Current Score for Standard 3 | 3 |
| Current Score for Standard 4 | 1 |
| Current Score for Standard 5 | 2 |
| Current Score for Standard 6 | 4 |
| ---- For an A you need 90\% of your scores >= 3.5 and no scores under 3 ------- | 999 |
| ---- For a B you need $80 \%$ of your scores >= 3 and no scores under 2 ------ | 999 |
| ---- For a C you need $80 \%$ of your scores >= 2 and no scores under 1 ------- | 999 |
| You have this many scores less than 3.5: | 4 |
| You have this many scores less than 3: | 3 |
| You have this many scores less than 2: | 1 |
| You have this many scores less than 1: | 0 |
| --------------- Raw Score for Assessment 1 ------- | 999 |
| A1S1: Assessment 1, Standard 1 | 0 |
| A1S2: Assessment 1, Standard 2 | 2 |
| ---------------- Raw Scores for Assessment 2 ------- | 999 |
| A2S3: Assessment 2, Standard 3 | 1 |
| A2S4: Assessment 2, Standard 4 | 1 |
| ----------------- Raw Scores for Assessment 3 ------- | 999 |
| A3S5: Assessment 3, Standard 5 | 2 |
| A3S6: Assessment 3, Standard 6 | 4 |
| ----------------- Scores for Any Reassessments Taken Below ------ | 999 |
| S1R1: Standard 1 Reassessment 1 | 4 |
| S1R2: Standard 1 Reassessment 2 | 999 |
| S2R1: Standard 2 Reassessment 1 | 999 |
| S2R2: Standard 2 Reassessment 2 | 999 |
| S3R1: Standard 3 Reassessment 1 | 3 |
| S3R2: Standard 3 Reassessment 2 | 999 |

## Challenges with SBG

- Extra start-up costs: Multiple questions per standard
- Extra work from tabulation
- Extra work from reassessments
- Doesn't scale well - Automate?
- Questions spanning multiple standards?
- Higher expectations for students
- Students are working - Scheduling constraints?


## Student Feedback

"I like knowing what I should learn from each topic" "SBG lets the student control their grade."
"It helped me to understand each topic more thoroughly."
> "Grading scheme made me go back over where I was weak."
"I wouldn't have bothered to study this concept."
"I've never been so excited to "get" a math concept." "YES! I finally got it!"

## Course Projects

- Projects = Active Learning
- Math Modeling: Simulate real-world scenario using Python
- Bikeshare • Population Growth •Infectious Diseases
- Math Programming: Learn Mathematica and program:
-Tutorial •Mathematical Art •Interactive App
- Combinatorics: Find real world situations / objects to count
- Integral Calculus: The Goblet Project


## Project Deliverables

- Papers (Summary / Reflection)
- Revision Process
- Programming Notebooks
- Presentations
- Posters

3D Printed Artwork / Exhibit
Podcasts

## Grading, Before and After

(5) Format Specifications
(I0) Organization
(I0) Grammar + Sent. Struct
(5) Abstract
(I0) Background
(I5) Model
(I5) Results
(20) Discussion
(5) Appendices
(5) Bib. / References

Add up the points to get a weighted average.

## Standards List

Timeliness
Writing Style
Abstract
Introduction
Methodology
Results
Analysis
Conclusions
Python Notebook

Score each standard:
4:Truly exceptional
3: Exceeds expectations
2: Meets expectations
I: Minimally Acceptable 0: Unacceptable

Grade based on scores:
A+ 4 on 3 stds, others $3+$
A 3 on 6 stds, others $2+$
B 3 on 2 stds, 2 on 7 stds, no 0's
C 2 on 4 stds, at most 10
D at most one 0
F at least two 0's

## Thank you!

- Mastery Grading Slack:
bit.ly/join-masterygrading
- Robert Talbert
- Kate Owens
- My students
qc.edu/~chanusa
> Research > Talks Slides Available
> Courses
Course Materials
> Math 142
Integral Calculus
> Math 636
Combinatorics

